# SECTION A. TECHNICAL NOTES

### SECTION A. TECHNICAL NOTES

These technical notes include information on sampling and weighting, survey methodology, sampling and nonsampling errors, and discussions of data comparisons to previous cycles of the National Survey of Recent College Graduates (NSRCG) and the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS) data. For a more detailed discussion of survey methodology, readers are referred to the 1999 NSRCG Methodology Report.

#### **O**VERVIEW

The National Survey of Recent College Graduates (NSRCG) is sponsored by the National Science Foundation (NSF), Division of Science Resources Statistics (SRS). The NSRCG is one of three data collections covering personnel and graduates in science and engineering. The other two surveys are the National Survey of College Graduates (NSCG) and the Survey of Doctorate Recipients (SDR). Together, they constitute NSF's Scientists and Engineers Statistical Data System (SESTAT). These surveys serve as the basis for developing estimates and characteristics of the total population of scientists and engineers in the United States.

The first NSF-sponsored NSRCG (then known as New Entrants) was conducted in 1974. Subsequent surveys were conducted in 1976, 1978, 1979, 1980, 1982, 1984, 1986, 1988, 1990, 1993, 1995, 1997, and 1999. The initial survey collected data on only bachelor's degree recipients, but all subsequent surveys included both bachelor's and master's degree recipients.

For the 1999 NSRCG, a sample of 279 colleges and universities was asked to provide lists of eligible bachelor's and master's degree recipients. From these lists, a sample of 13,918 graduates (9,786 bachelor's and 4,132 master's recipients) was selected. These graduates were interviewed between May 1999 and March 2000. Computer-assisted telephone interviewing (CATI) served as the primary means of data collection. Mail data collection was used only for those who could not be reached by telephone. The weighted response rates were 99.5 percent for institutions and 78 percent for graduates.

The NSRCG questionnaire underwent relatively few revisions for the 1999 survey. These revisions consisted mainly of deleting a series of questions about alternative arrangements with employers that had been added for the 1997 cycle only. All revisions were done in coordination with similar revisions to the other SESTAT surveys. Topics covered in the survey include:

- Educational experience before and after obtaining the sampled degree;
- Graduate employment characteristics including occupation, salary, unemployment, underemployment, and postdegree work-related training;
- Relationship between education and employment;
   and
- Graduate background and demographic characteristics.

#### Sample Design

The NSRCG used a two-stage sample design. In the first stage, a stratified nationally representative sample of 279 institutions was selected with probability proportional to size. There were 106 self-representing institutions, also known as certainty units. For each institution, the measure of size was a composite related to both the number of graduates and the proportion of these who were black or Hispanic. The 173 noncertainty institutions were implicitly stratified by sorting the list by type of control (public, private), region, and the percentage of degrees awarded in science or engineering. Institutions were then selected by systematic sampling from the ordered list.

The second stage of the sampling process involved selecting graduates within the sampled institutions by cohort. Each sampled institution was asked to provide lists of graduates for sampling. Within graduation year (cohort), each eligible graduate was then classified into one of 40 strata based on the graduate's major field of study and degree level. While race was not an explicit stratification variable, black, Hispanic, and American Indian/Alaskan Native graduates were assigned a measure of size equal to three, while all other graduates were assigned a measure of size equal to one. This method had the same effect as oversampling black, Hispanic, and American Indian/Alaskan Native graduates by a factor of three. Table 1 lists the major fields and the corresponding sampling rates by cohort and degree.

These rates are overall sampling rates for the major field, and include the institution's probability of selection and the within-institution sampling rate. To achieve the within-institution sampling rate, the overall rate was divided by the institution's probability of selection. The sampling rates by stratum were applied within each eligible responding institution and resulted in sampling 13,918 graduates, slightly larger than the target sample size of 13,500 because persons with unknown majors were also included for complete population coverage.

#### Graduate Eligibility

To be included in the sample, the graduates had to meet all of the following criteria:

- They received a bachelor's or master's degree in an eligible major from the college or university from which they were sampled;
- They received their degree within the two academic years in the study. For the 1999 study, there were two academic years (July 1996 through June 1997, and July 1997 through June 1998);

- They were under the age of 76 and were not institutionalized during the week of April 15, 1999 (the reference week); and
- They lived in the United States during the reference week.

#### DATA COLLECTION AND RESPONSE

Prior to data collection from graduates, it was first necessary to obtain the cooperation of the sampled institutions that provided lists of graduates. All eligible sampled institutions except one provided graduate lists for the 1999 NSRCG. In addition, one sampled institution was ineligible because no S&E degrees were awarded during the two cohort years for the 1999 survey. The response rates for the institutional list collection were 99.6 percent unweighted and 99.5 percent weighted.

Graduate data collection took place between May 1999 and March 2000, with computer-assisted telephone interviewing as the primary means of data collection. Flyers were sent to all graduates announcing the study and asking for the phone numbers at which they could

Table 1. Major fields and corresponding sampling rates, by cohort and degree: April 1999

	1997	1997	1998	1998
Major field	bachelor's	master's	bachelor's	master's
	rate	rate	rate	rate
Computer sciences	0.0082	0.0206	0.0074	0.0189
Biological sciences	0.0069	0.0142	0.0066	0.0145
Environmental, agricultural & forestry sciences	0.0116	0.0170	0.0107	0.0178
Mathematics/statistics	0.0132	0.0224	0.0132	0.0241
Chemistry	0.0155	0.0238	0.0152	0.0257
Physics/astronomy	0.0448	0.0311	0.0438	0.0328
Other physical sciences, earth sciences, geology				
oceanography	0.0353	0.0368	0.0353	0.0357
Psychology	0.0058	0.0085	0.0058	0.0095
Economics	0.0097	0.0167	0.0092	0.0172
Political science	0.0094	0.0153	0.0096	0.0153
Sociology/anthropology	0.0052	0.0178	0.0050	0.0174
Other social sciences	0.0082	0.0136	0.0082	0.0139
Aero/astronautical engineering	0.1253	0.0798	0.1329	0.0791
Chemical engineering	0.0240	0.0467	0.0243	0.0458
Civil engineering	0.0148	0.0221	0.0153	0.0224
Electrical engineering	0.0121	0.0248	0.0120	0.0244
Industrial engineering	0.0428	0.0283	0.0443	0.0262
Mechanical engineering	0.0124	0.0256	0.0131	0.0263
Other engineering	0.0244	0.0264	0.0237	0.0265
Unknown major	0.0069	0.0151	0.0070	0.0149

**SOURCE:** National Science Foundation/Division of Science Resources Statistics, National Survey of Recent College Graduates, 1999.

be reached during the survey period. Extensive tracing of graduates was required to obtain the desired response rate. Tracing activities included computerized telephone number searches, national change of address searches (NCOA), school alumni office contacts, school major field department contacts, directory assistance, military locators, post office records, personal referrals from parents or others who knew the graduate, and the use of professional tracing organizations.

Table 2 gives the response rates by cohort, degree, major, type of address, gender, and race/ethnicity. The overall unweighted graduate response rate was 79 percent; the weighted response rate was 78 percent. As can be seen from table 2, response rates varied somewhat by graduate characteristics. Rates were lowest for graduates with school sampling lists that provided no address, provided a foreign address, or identified the graduate as a nonresident alien. It is possible that many unlocated persons with foreign addresses or listed as nonresident aliens were actually ineligible for the survey due to living outside the United States during the survey reference week. However, a graduate was only classified as ineligible if his/her ineligibility status could be confirmed.

#### WEIGHT CALCULATIONS

To produce national estimates, the data were weighted. The weighting procedures adjusted for unequal selection probabilities, for nonresponse at the institution and graduate level, and for duplication of graduates on the sampling file (graduates in both cohorts). In addition, a ratio adjustment was made at the institution level, using the number of degrees awarded as reported in IPEDS for specified categories of major and degree level. Because this adjustment was designed to reduce the variability associated with sampling institutions, it was not affected by the differences in target populations between NSRCG and IPEDS at the person level. These differences between NSRCG and IPEDS are discussed in a later section of these notes. The final adjustment to the graduate weights adjusted for responding graduates who could have been sampled twice. For example, a person who obtained an eligible bachelor's degree in 1997 could have obtained an eligible master's degree in 1998 and could have been sampled for either degree. To make the estimates from the survey essentially unbiased, the weights of all responding graduates who could have been sampled twice were divided by 2. The weights of the graduates who were not eligible to be sampled twice were not adjusted. The weights developed for the 1999 NSRCG comprise both full sample weights for use in computing survey estimates, and replicate weights for variance estimation using a jackknife replication variance estimation procedure.

#### DATA EDITING

Most editing checks were included within the CATI system, including range checks, skip pattern rules, and logical consistency checks. Skip patterns were controlled by the CATI system so that inappropriate items were avoided and appropriate items were not missed. For logical consistency check violations, CATI screens appeared that explained the discrepancy and asked the respondent for corrections. Some additional logical consistency checks were added during data preparation. All of the edit checks discussed above were rerun after item nonresponse imputation.

#### IMPUTATION OF MISSING DATA

Missing data occurred if the respondent cooperated with the survey but did not answer one or more individual questions. The level of item nonresponse in this study was very low (typically 1 percent or less) due to the use of CATI for data collection and of data retrieval techniques for missing key items. However, imputation for item nonresponse was performed for each survey item to make the study results simpler to present and to allow consistent totals to be obtained when analyzing different questionnaire items. "Not applicable" responses were not imputed because these represented respondents who were not eligible to answer the given item.

Imputation was performed using a hot-deck method. Hot-deck methods estimate the missing value of an item by using values of the same item from other record(s) in the same file. Using the hot-deck procedure, each missing questionnaire item was imputed separately. First, respondent records were sorted by items thought to be related to the missing item. Next, a value was imputed for each item nonresponse recipient from a respondent donor within the same subgroup. The results of the imputation procedure were reviewed to ensure that the plan had been followed correctly. In addition, all edit checks were run on the imputed file to be sure that no data inconsistencies were created in the imputation process.

Table 2. Number of graduates, unweighted graduate response rates, and weighted graduate response rates, by graduate characteristics: April 1999

Page 1 of 2 Weighted Unweighted Response graduate graduate Non-Graduate characteristic Total response rate<sup>2</sup> response rate<sup>2</sup> response Complete Ineligible<sup>1</sup> Percent 13,918 Total ..... 9,984 987 2,947 78.8 77.8 Graduation cohort<sup>3</sup> 1996-1997 ..... 6,955 4,858 523 1,574 77.4 76.4 1997-1998 ..... 79.2 6,963 5,126 464 1,373 80.3 Sampled degree<sup>3</sup> Bachelor's. 9,786 7,111 610 2,065 78.9 77.6 Master's ..... 4,132 2,873 377 882 78.7 78.5 Sampled degree major<sup>3</sup> Computer sciences ..... 928 640 226 75.6 74.9 62 Biological sciences ..... 1,340 1,038 72 230 82.8 83.5 Environmental/agricultural science ..... 467 366 29 72 84.6 85.3 587 24 Mathematics/statistics ..... 449 114 80.6 82.0 Chemistry ..... 469 384 15 70 85.1 85.8 Physics/astronomy ..... 455 352 27 76 83.3 84.1 Other physical sciences, earth science ..... 492 408 26 58 88.2 88.3 389 Psychology ..... 1,536 1,074 73 74.7 75.8 Economics ..... 517 306 45 166 67.9 68.0 Political science ..... 1,100 741 77 282 74.4 75.0 Sociology/anthropology ..... 600 422 33 145 75.8 75.8 51 Other social sciences ..... 646 441 154 76.2 75.9 Aero/astronautical engineering ..... 463 370 14 79 82.9 80.9 77 492 391 24 Chemical engineering. ..... 84.3 84.7 Civil engineering ..... 558 436 22 100 82.1 83.1 214 Electrical engineering ..... 946 696 36 77.4 76.8 488 29 Industrial engineering ..... 349 110 77.5 76.9 599 31 Mechanical engineering ..... 464 104 82.6 82.2 Other engineering ..... 682 531 105 84.5 46 84.6 Not reported ..... 553 126 251 176 68.2 67.8 Type of address provided by school at time of sampling<sup>4</sup> 12,281 9,181 79.4 U.S. address only ..... 692 2,408 80.4 255 Foreign address ..... 565 134 176 68.8 67.1 No address ..... 1,072 548 161 363 66.1 64.9 Gender of graduate<sup>3</sup> 7,372 5,339 487 79.0 77.5 Male ..... 1,546 Female ..... 5,403 3,855 421 1,127 79.1 78.7 Not reported ..... 790 79 274 1,143 76.0 74.7

See end of table for notes and sources.

Table 2. Number of graduates, unweighted graduate response rates, and weighted graduate response rates, by graduate characteristics: April 1999

Page 2	of	2
--------	----	---

		Resp	oonse		Unweighted	Weighted graduate response rate <sup>2</sup>	
Graduate characteristic	Total	Complete	Ineligible <sup>1</sup>	Non- response	graduate response rate <sup>2</sup>		
					Percent		
Race/ethnicity <sup>3</sup>							
White, non-Hispanic	5,865	4,649	272	944	83.9	82.3	
Hispanic	1,510	1,089	84	337	77.7	76.0	
Black, non-Hispanic	1,618	1,140	83	395	75.6	73.7	
Asian or Pacific islander	1,029	699	67	263	74.4	74.3	
American Indian or Alaskan native	105	81	3	21	80.0	76.3	
Nonresident alien	475	253	70	152	68.0	65.4	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The 987 ineligibles include the following: graduates living outside the United States during the week of April 15, 1999 (370); graduates who reported an ineligible major field for their sampled degree (361); those who did not receive a degree within the correct time frame (208); those who did not attend the sampled school (18); deceased (13); duplicates (8); institutionalized (4); those who did not receive a bachelor's or master's degree (4); and other ineligible (1).

SOURCE: National Science Foundation/Division of Science Resources Statistics, National Survey of Recent College Graduates, 1999.

#### ACCURACY OF ESTIMATES

The survey estimates provided in these tables are subject to two sources of error: sampling and nonsampling errors. Sampling errors occur because the estimates are based on a sample of individuals in the population rather than on the entire population and hence are subject to sampling variability. If the interviews had been conducted with a different sample, the responses would not have been identical; some figures might have been higher, while others might have been lower.

The standard error is the measure of the variability of the estimates due to sampling. It indicates the variability of a sample estimate that would be obtained from all possible samples of a given design and size. Standard errors can be used as a measure of the precision expected from a particular sample. Tables 3 and 4 contain standard errors for key statistics included in the detailed tables.

If all possible samples were surveyed under similar conditions, intervals within plus or minus 1.96 standard

errors of a particular statistic would include the true population parameter being estimated in about 95 percent of the samples. This is the 95 percent confidence interval. For example, suppose the total number of 1997 and 1998 bachelor's degree recipients majoring in engineering is 114,612 and the estimated standard error is 4,297. In this case, the 95 percent confidence interval for the statistic would extend from:

This means that one can be confident that intervals constructed in this way contain the true population parameter for 95 percent of all possible samples.

Estimates of standard errors were computed using a technique known as jackknife replication. As with any replication method, jackknife replication involves constructing a number of subsamples (replicates) from the full sample and computing the statistics of interest for each replicate. The mean square error of the replicate

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The graduate response rate is calculated as (R-I)/[(R-I)+(N\*p)] where R=Response (complete plus ineligible), I=Ineligible, N=Nonresponse, p=Proportion of response found in scope calculated as (R-I)/R.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The cohort, degree, major, gender, and race/ethnicity codes are those reported by institutions at the time of sampling and may not match data reported by the respondents on the survey.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>This reflects the type of address provided by the institution at the time of sampling. Additional address information may have been provided by the alumni office during data collection. Graduates for whom both U.S. and foreign addresses were provided are included in the foreign address category.

Table 3. Unweighted number, weighted estimate, and standard errors for 1997 and 1998 science and engineering bachelor's degree recipients, by graduate characteristics: April 1999

Business a degree recipionite, by gradu		Weighted number		Weighted percent		
	Unweighted		Standard	Ţ.	Standard	
Characteristic	number	Estimate	error <sup>1</sup>	Estimate	error <sup>1</sup>	
Total 1997 and 1998 science and						
engineering bachelor's degree recipients	7,208	743,430	15,273	100		
Sex						
Male	4,069	366,786	7,719	49.3	1.04	
Female	3,139	376,644	13,316	50.7	1.04	
Race/ethnicity						
White, non-Hispanic	4,594	561,285	16,116	75.5	0.92	
Black, non-Hispanic	938	51,618	3,717	6.9	0.55	
Hispanic	977	54,150	2,468	7.3	0.37	
Asian/Pacific Islander	630	71,613	3,528	9.6	0.44	
American Indian/Alaskan Native	69	4,765	739	0.6	0.10	
Type of major field						
Science	5,026	628,819	17,008	84.6	0.73	
Engineering	2,182	114,612	4,297	15.4	0.73	
Major field of study						
Computer and information						
sciences	338	46,029	2,841	6.2	0.36	
Life and related sciences	1,175	164,042	5,499	22.1	0.55	
Mathematical sciences	306	23,742	1,488	3.2	0.17	
Physical and related sciences	884	36,545	1,794	4.9	0.20	
Psychology	787	146,704	6,119	19.7	0.58	
Social and related sciences	1,536	211,756	7,232	28.5	0.66	
Engineering	2,182	114,612	4,297	15.4	0.73	
Occupation (those employed)						
Computer and information						
scientists	551	52,707	2,910	7.1	0.35	
Life and related scientists	203	25,297	1,815	3.4	0.25	
Mathematical and related scientists	41	3,774	679	0.5	0.09	
Physical scientists	349	19,197	1,264	2.6	0.16	
Psychologists	51	8,325	1,379	1.1	0.18	
Social and related scientists	76	10,195	1,447	1.4	0.19	
Engineers	1,435	78,702	3,365	10.6	0.53	
Other occupations	3,488	427,414	11,965	57.5	0.75	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Standard errors were calculated with the WesVar program using the JK2 option.

**KEY:** -- = Not applicable.

NOTES: Represents graduates from July 1996 through June 1998. Details may not add to totals due to rounding.

SOURCE: National Science Foundation/Division of Science Resources Statistics, National Survey of Recent College Graduates, 1999

Table 4. Unweighted number, weighted estimate, and standard errors for 1997 and 1998 science and engineering

master's degree recipients, by graduate characteristics: April 1999

Weighted number		Weighted percent				
	Unweighted		Standard		Standard	
Characteristic	number	Estimate	error <sup>1</sup>	Estimate	error <sup>1</sup>	
Total 1997 and 1998 science and						
engineering master's degree recipients	2,929	157,029	3,578	100		
Sex						
Male	1,847	91,722	2,249	58.4	1.22	
Female	1,082	65,307	2,819	41.6	1.22	
Race/ethnicity						
White, non-Hispanic	1,709	104,383	2,810	66.5	0.96	
Black, non-Hispanic	295	8,377	817	5.3	0.47	
Hispanic	264	7,710	617	4.9	0.39	
Asian/Pacific Islander	645	35,763	1,585	22.8	0.92	
American Indian/Alaskan Native	16	796	244	0.5	0.16	
Type of major field						
Science	1,784	110,367	3,588	70.3	1.14	
Engineering	1,145	46,663	1,701	29.7	1.14	
Major field of study						
Computer and information						
sciences	330	19,951	1,346	12.7	0.84	
Life and related sciences	263	16,569	1,672	10.6	1.07	
Mathematical sciences	145	7,236	548	4.6	0.34	
Physical and related sciences	276	9,056	516	5.8	0.32	
Psychology	348	30,015	2,645	19.1	1.47	
Social and related sciences	422	27,540	1,676	17.5	0.93	
Engineering	1,145	46,663	1,701	29.7	1.14	
Occupation (those employed)						
Computer and information						
scientists	470	26,159	1,432	16.7	0.86	
Life and related scientists	105	6,419	599	4.1	0.38	
Mathematical and related scientists	79	4,220	491	2.7	0.30	
Physical scientists	178	6,256	445	4.0	0.29	
Psychologists	114	10,201	992	6.5	0.60	
Social and related scientists	107	7,259	723	4.6	0.44	
Engineers	717	28,853	1,331	18.4	0.92	
Other occupations	832	49,787	2,423	31.7	1.18	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Standard errors were calculated with the WesVar program using the JK2 option.

**KEY:** -- = Not applicable.

NOTES: Represents graduates from July 1996 through June 1998. Details may not add to totals due to rounding.

SOURCE: National Science Foundation/Division of Science Resources Statistics, National Survey of Recent College Graduates, 1999

estimates around their corresponding full sample estimate provides an estimate of the sampling variance of the statistic of interest. To construct the replicates, 86 stratified subsamples of the full sample were created. Eighty-six jackknife replicates were then formed by deleting one subsample at a time from the full sample. WesVar, a computer program developed at Westat, was used to calculate direct estimates of standard errors for a number of statistics from the survey.

#### GENERALIZED VARIANCE FUNCTIONS

Computing and printing standard errors for each estimate from the survey is a time consuming and costly effort. For this survey, a different approach was taken for estimating the standard errors of the estimates included in this report. First, the standard errors for a large number of different estimates were directly computed using the jackknife replication procedures described above. Next, models were fitted to the estimates and standard errors and the parameters of these models were estimated from the direct estimates. These models and their estimated parameters were used to approximate the standard error of an estimate from the survey. This process is called the development of generalized variance functions.

Models were fitted for the two types of estimates of primary interest: estimated totals and estimated percentages. It should be noted that the models used to estimate the generalized variance functions may not be completely appropriate for all estimates.

#### Sampling Errors for Totals

For estimated totals, the generalized variance function applied assumes that the relative variance of the estimate (the square of the standard error divided by the square of the estimate) is a linear function of the inverse of the estimate. Using this model, the standard error of an estimate can be computed as:

$$se(y) = \sqrt{ay^2 + by} \tag{1}$$

where se(y) is the standard error of the estimate y, and a and b are estimated parameters of the model. The parameters of the models were computed separately for 1997 bachelor's, 1997 master's, 1998 bachelor's, and 1998 master's recipients for important domains of interest. The estimates of the parameters are given in table 5.

The following steps should be followed to approximate the standard error of an estimated total:

- 1. obtain the estimated total from the survey,
- 2. determine the most appropriate domain for the estimate from table 5,
- 3. refer to table 5 to get the estimates of *a* and *b* for this domain, and
- 4. compute the generalized variance using equation (1) above.

For example, suppose that the number of 1997 bachelor's degree recipients in engineering who were currently working in an engineering-related job was 39,400 (y = 39,400). The most appropriate domain from table 5 is engineering majors with bachelor's degrees from 1997 and the parameters are a = 0.001360 and b = 73.981. Approximate the standard error using equation (1) as:

$$se(39,400) = \sqrt{.001360(39,400)^2 + 73.981(39,400)} = 2,242.$$

### Sampling Errors for

#### **Percentages**

The model used to approximate the standard errors for estimates of percentages was somewhat less complex. The generalized variance for estimated percentages assumed that the ratio of the variance of an estimate to the variance of the same estimate from a simple random sample of the same size was a constant. This ratio is called the design effect and is often labeled the DEFF. Since the variance for an estimated percentage, p, from a simple random sample is p(100 - p) divided by the sample size, the standard error of an estimated percentage can be written as:

$$se(p) = \sqrt{\text{DEFF}(p)(100 - p)/n}$$
 (2)

where *n* is the sample size or denominator of the estimated percentage. DEFFs were computed separately for 1997 bachelor's, 1997 master's, 1998 bachelor's, and 1998 master's recipients for important domains of interest. The median or average values of the DEFFs from these computations are given in table 5.

Table 5. Estimated parameters for computing generalized variances for estimates from the 1999 NSRCG

	Bac	helor's recipients		Ma	aster's recipients	
Domain	a	b	DEFF	а	b	DEFF
1007 graduates						
1997 graduates	0.000362	178.959	1.9	0.000100	104.491	1.7
All graduates	0.000302	170.939	1.9	0.000100	104.491	1.7
Male	0.000448	140.253	1.7	-0.000221	82.248	1.5
Female	0.000448	188.494	1.7	0.001120	90.087	1.5
Major	0.001020	100.474	1.7	0.001120	70.007	1.5
Science majors	0.000617	205.101	1.6	0.000741	108.037	1.7
Engineering majors	0.000317	73.981	1.7	0.000741	41.883	1.7
Occupation	0.001300	73.701	1.7	0.000700	41.003	1.2
Scientists	0.000391	141.597	1.6	-0.000553	84.331	1.3
Engineers	0.000371	92.632	1.8	0.000333	51.631	1.2
Other	0.000451	199.042	1.6	0.003460	81.213	1.3
Race/ethnicity	0.000101	177.012	1.0	0.003 100	01.213	1.0
White, non-Hispanic	0.000613	211.962	1.6	0.000461	85.972	1.4
Black, non-Hispanic	0.008760	74.712	1.7	0.011640	32.210	1.5
Hispanic	0.001300	84.322	1.7	0.016630	27.721	1.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	0.000185	146.232	1.3	-0.000450	70.206	1.5
American Indian/Alaskan Native	*	*	1.7	0.005100	78.874	1.5
1998 graduates						
All graduates	0.000535	124.854	1.8	0.000143	79.164	1.5
Sex						
Male	0.000187	133.510	1.6	0.000065	67.217	1.4
Female	0.001340	173.468	1.7	0.001640	70.395	1.4
Major						
Science majors	0.001020	125.447	1.6	0.000872	74.059	1.4
Engineering majors	0.000570	71.556	1.5	-0.000748	50.652	1.2
Occupation						
Scientists	0.001550	117.499	1.6	0.000008	67.588	1.3
Engineers	0.001030	69.092	1.5	0.000348	44.580	1.2
Other	0.001020	141.673	1.5	0.002040	63.025	1.3
Race/ethnicity						
White, non-Hispanic	0.000611	178.402	1.6	-0.000118	80.561	1.3
Black, non-Hispanic	0.006360	72.222	1.6	0.003180	42.757	1.5
Hispanic	0.000439	102.653	1.7	-0.002300	46.015	1.7
Asian/Pacific Islander	-0.000159	166.926	1.4	-0.000384	65.071	1.2
American Indian/Alaskan Native	0.051770	53.434	1.6	0.027470	42.640	1.2

**KEY:** 1999 NSRCG=The 1999 National Survey of Recent College Graduates

DEFF = Design effect.

SOURCE: National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, National Survey of Recent College Graduates, 1999

<sup>\* =</sup> Estimates not reported because the specified model resulted in R-square values too small to report.

The following steps should be followed to approximate the standard error of an estimated percentage:

- 1. obtain the estimated percentage and sample size from the survey,
- 2. determine the most appropriate domain for the estimate from table 5,
- 3. refer to table 5 to get the estimates of the DEFF for this domain, and
- 4. compute the generalized variance using equation (2) above.

For example, suppose that the percentage of 1997 bachelor's degree recipients in engineering who were currently working in an S&E job was 67 percent (p = 67) and the number of engineering majors from the survey (sample size, n) was 1,100. The most appropriate domain from table 5 is engineering majors with bachelor's degrees from 1997 and the DEFF for this domain is 1.7. Approximate the standard error using equation (2) as:

$$se(67\%) = \sqrt{1.7(67)(100 - 67)/1100} = 1.85\%$$

#### Nonsampling Errors

In addition to sampling errors, the survey estimates are subject to nonsampling errors that can arise because of nonobservation (nonresponse or noncoverage), reporting errors, and errors made in the collection and processing of the data. These errors can sometimes bias the data. The 1999 NSRCG included procedures specifically designed to minimize nonsampling error. In addition, some special studies conducted during the previous cycles of the NSRCG provided some measures of nonsampling errors that are useful in understanding the data from the current survey as well.

Procedures to minimize nonsampling errors were followed throughout the survey. Extensive questionnaire design work was done by Mathematica Policy Research (MPR), NSF, and Westat. This work included focus groups, expert panel reviews, and mail and CATI pretests. This design work was done in conjunction with the other two SESTAT surveys.

Comprehensive training and monitoring of interviewers and data processing staff helped to ensure the consistency and accuracy of the data file. Data collection was done almost entirely by telephone to help reduce the amount of item nonresponse and item inconsistency. Mail questionnaires were used for cases difficult to complete by telephone. Nonresponse was handled in ways designed to minimize the impact on data quality (through weighting adjustments and imputation). In data preparation, a special effort was made in the area of occupational coding. Respondent-chosen codes were verified by data preparation staff using a variety of information collected on the survey and applying coding rules developed by NSF for the SESTAT system.

While general sampling theory can be used to estimate the sampling variability of a statistic, the measurement of nonsampling error is not easy and usually requires that an experiment be conducted as part of the data collection, or that data external to the study be used. In the 1995 NSRCG, two quality analysis studies were conducted: (1) an analysis of occupational coding; and (2) a CATI reinterview. As noted above, these special studies can also inform analysts about the 1999 survey data.

The occupational coding report included an analysis of the 1995 CATI autocoding of occupation and the best coding operation. During CATI interviewing, each respondent's verbatim occupation description was autocoded by computer into a standard SESTAT code whenever possible. Autocoding included both coding directly to a final category and coding to an intermediate code-selection screen. If the description could not be autocoded, the respondent was asked to select the appropriate occupation category during the interview. For the primary occupation, 22 percent of the responses were autocoded to a final category and 19 percent were autocoded to an intermediate screen. The results of the occupation autocoding were examined, and the process was found to be successful and efficient.

For the best coding operation, an occupational worksheet for each respondent was generated and reviewed by an experienced occupational coder. This review was based on the work-related information provided by the graduate. If the respondent's self-selected occupation code was inappropriate, a new, or "best," code was assigned. A total of 17,894 responses were received to the three occupation questions in the 1995 survey cycle. Of these, 25 percent received updated codes during the best coding process, with 16 percent being recoded from the "other" category and 9 percent recoded from the "nonother" categories. This analysis indicated that the best coding activity was necessary to ensure that the most

appropriate occupation codes were included on the final data file. As a result of this 1995 NSRCG quality study, the best coding procedure was implemented in the 1997 and 1999 surveys as well.

The second quality analysis study conducted in the 1995 NSRCG involved a reinterview of a sample of 800 respondents. For this study, sampled respondents were interviewed a second time, and responses to the two interviews were compared. This analysis found that the questionnaire items in which respondents were asked to provide reasons for certain events or behaviors had relatively large index of inconsistency values. Examples include reasons for not working during the reference week and reasons for working part time. High response variability is typical for items that ask about reasons and beliefs rather than behaviors, and the results were not unusual for these types of items. Some of the other differences between the two interviews were attributed to the time lag between the original interview and reinterview.

For the 1993 NSRCG, two data quality studies were completed: (1) an analysis of interviewer variance and (2) a behavioral coding analysis of 100 recorded interviews. The interviewer variance study was designed to measure the impact of interviewer effects on the precision of the estimates. The results showed that interviewer effects for most items were minimal and thus had a very limited effect on the standard error of the estimates. Interviewer variance was highest for openended questions.

The behavioral coding study was done to observe the extent to which interviewers were following the structured interview and the extent to which it became necessary for them to give unstructured additional explanation or comments to respondents. As part of the study, 100 interviews were taped and then coded on a variety of behavioral dimensions. This analysis revealed that, on the whole, the interview proceeded in a very structured manner, with 85 percent of all question and answer "dyads" being "asked and answered only." Additional unstructured interaction/discussion took place most frequently for those questions in which there was some ambiguity in the topic. In most cases this interaction was judged to have facilitated obtaining the correct response.

For both survey cycles, results from the quality studies were used to identify those questionnaire items that might need additional revision for the next study cycle. Debriefing sessions concerning the survey were held with interviewers, and this information was also used in revising the survey for the next cycle.

## Comparisons of Data With Previous Years' Results

A word of caution needs to be given concerning comparisons with previous NSRCG results. During the 1993 cycle, the SESTAT system underwent considerable revision in several areas, including survey eligibility, data collection procedures, questionnaire content and wording, and data coding and editing procedures. The changes made for the 1995 through 1999 cycles were less significant but might affect some data trend analysis. While the 1993 through 1999 survey data are fairly comparable, care must be taken when comparing results from the 1990s surveys to surveys from the 1980s, due to the significant changes made in 1993. For a detailed discussion of these changes, please see the 1993, 1995, 1997, and 1999 NSRCG methodology reports.

For the 1999 NSRCG, there were no significant procedural changes that would affect the comparison of results between the 1997 and 1999 survey cycles.

#### COMPARISONS WITH IPEDS DATA

The National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) conducts a survey of the nation's postsecondary institutions, called the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS). The IPEDS Completions Survey reports on the number of degrees awarded by all major fields of study, along with estimates by gender and race/ethnicity.

Although both the NSRCG and IPEDS are surveys of postsecondary education and both report on completions from those institutions, there are important differences in the target populations for the two surveys that directly affect the estimates of the number of graduates. The reason for the different target populations is that the goals of the surveys are not the same. The IPEDS estimates of degrees awarded are intended to measure the output of the educational system. The NSRCG estimates are intended to measure the supply and utilization of a portion of graduates in the years following their completion of degrees. These goals result in definitions of the target population that are not completely consistent for the two surveys. Other

differences between the estimates can be explained to a very large extent by a few important aspects of the design or reporting procedures in the two surveys. The main differences between the two studies that affect comparisons of estimates overall and by race/ethnicity are listed below.

- The IPEDS Completions data file represents a count of degrees awarded, whereas the NSRCG represents graduates (persons). If a person receives more than one degree, institutions are instructed to report each degree separately in IPEDS. In the NSRCG, each person is counted only once.
- The NSRCG includes only people who were residing in the United States during the reference week for the survey (the week of April 15 of the survey year). People who received degrees during the years covered by the survey, but resided outside the United States during the reference week, appear in IPEDS counts but not in NSRCG counts.
- The NSRCG includes only major fields of study that meet the specific SESTAT system definition of science and engineering (S&E), while IPEDS includes all fields. The SESTAT field codes were designed to map directly to the 6-digit Classification of Instructional Program (CIP) codes used in IPEDS. However, published reports from the two studies may group the specific field codes differently for reporting purposes. Therefore, when comparing the NSRCG estimates in this report to IPEDS, care must be taken to select and group the IPEDS estimates according to the NSRCG field definitions shown in the appendix. For example, the NSRCG reporting category of Computer and Information Sciences does not include computer programming or data processing technology, but these fields are included in this category in NCES's Digest of Education Statistics. In addition, several NSRCG reporting categories include fields classified as multi/interdisciplinary studies in IPEDS. The NSRCG reporting category of social and related sciences has the most differences in definition from IPEDS. The IPEDS category for social and related sciences also includes history whereas the NSF category excludes history.
- The IPEDS data reflect information submitted by institutions from administrative records, whereas the NSRCG represents reports of individual graduates collected in interviews. Often, estimates differ when the mode of data collection and sources of data are different.

- Whereas the IPEDS is a census of postsecondary institutions, the NSRCG is a sample survey. As a result, NSRCG estimates include the sampling error inherent in all sample surveys.
- There is an additional consideration for estimates by race/ethnicity. Prior to the 1994–95 academic year, IPEDS collected race/ethnicity data only by broad 2-digit CIP code fields, not by the specific 6-digit CIP fields needed to identify the S&E fields as defined on NSRCG. Therefore, it is not possible to obtain IPEDS race/ethnicity data that precisely match the S&E population as defined by NSRCG for the academic years prior to 1995. For example, the 2-digit CIP for social sciences and history includes history, which is not an S&E field, but does not include such S&E fields as agricultural economics and public policy analysis which are included in the NSF category for social and related sciences.

Despite these factors, the NSRCG and IPEDS estimates are consistent when appropriate adjustments for these differences are made. For example, the proportional distributions of graduates by field of study are nearly identical, and the numerical estimates are similar. Further information on the comparison of NSRCG and IPEDS estimates is available in the report, A Comparison of Estimates in the NSRCG and IPEDS, available in the SRS website, at http://www.nsf.gov/sbe/srs/stats.htm.

## OTHER EXPLANATORY INFORMATION DEFINITIONS

The following definitions are provided to facilitate the reader's use of the data in this report.

**Major field of study:** Major field of study is derived from the survey major field category most closely related to the respondent's degree field. Exhibit 1 gives a listing of the detailed major field codes used in the survey. Exhibit 2 gives a listing of the summary major field codes developed by NSF and used in the tables. The appendix lists the eligible and ineligible major fields within each summary category.

**Occupation:** Occupation is derived from the survey job list category most closely related to the respondent's primary job. Exhibit 3 gives a listing of the detailed job codes used in the survey, and Exhibit 4 gives the summary occupation codes developed by NSF and used in the tables.

**Labor force:** The labor force includes individuals working full or part time as well as those not working but seeking work or on layoff. It is a sum of the employed and the unemployed.

**Unemployed:** The unemployed are those who were not working on April 15 and were seeking work or on layoff from a job.

Type of employer: Type of employer is the sector of employment in which the respondent was working on his or her primary job held during the week of April 15, 1999. The following are the definitions for each of these categories. Private industry and business includes all private for-profit and private not-for-profit companies, businesses, and organizations, except those reported as educational institutions. It also includes persons reporting that they were self-employed. Educational institutions include elementary and secondary schools, 2-year and 4-year colleges and universities, medical schools, university-affiliated research organizations, and all other educational institutions. Government includes local, state, and Federal Government; military; and commissioned corps.

Primary work activity: Primary work refers to the activity that occupied the most time on the respondent's job. In reporting the data, those who reported applied research, basic research, development, or design work were grouped together in "research and development (R&D)." Those who reported accounting, finance or contracts, employee relations, quality or productivity management, sales and marketing, or managing and supervising were grouped into "management, sales, administration." Those who reported production, operations, maintenance, professional services or other activities were given the code "other."

Full-time salary: Full-time salary is the annual salary for the full-time employed, defined as those who were not self-employed (either incorporated or not incorporated), whose principal job was not less than 35 hours per week, and who were not full-time students on the reference date (April 15, 1999). Graduates who did not receive salaries were asked to report earned income, excluding business expenses. To annualize salary, reported hourly salaries were multiplied by the reported number of hours paid per week, then multiplied by 52; reported weekly salaries were multiplied by 52; reported monthly salaries were multiplied by 12. Yearly and academic yearly salaries were left as reported.

Race/ethnicity: All graduates, both U.S. citizens and non-U.S. citizens, are included in the race/ethnicity data presented in this report. In tables with sufficient sample size, race/ethnicity data are presented by the specific categories of white, non-Hispanic; black, non-Hispanic; Hispanic; Asian or Pacific Islander; and American Indian or Alaskan Native. In tables where the sample size is not sufficient to present data by specific category, the groups of black, Hispanic, and American Indian or Alaskan Native are combined into the underrepresented minority category.

#### COVERAGE OF TABLES

The tables in this report present information for two groups of recent graduates. The first of these groups consists of persons who earned bachelor's degrees in S&E fields from U.S. institutions during academic years 1997 and 1998. The second group includes those who earned S&E master's degrees during the same two years.

### EXHIBIT 1. LIST A: EDUCATION CODES

This EDUCATION CODES list is ordered alphabetically. The titles in bold type are broad fields of study. To make sure you have found the BEST code, please review ALL broad categories before making your choice. If you cannot find the code that BEST describes your field of study, use the "OTHER" code under the most appropriate broad field in bold print. If none of the codes fit your field of study, use Code 995.

Agri	culture Business and Production	Com	munications
601	Agriculture, economics (also see 655 and 923)	661	Communications, general
602	OTHER agricultural business and production	662	Journalism
		663	OTHER communications
Agri	cultural Sciences		
605	Animal sciences	Com	puter and Information Sciences
606	Food sciences and technology (also see 638)	671	Computer/information sciences, general
607	Plant sciences (also see 633)	672	Computer programming
608	OTHER agricultural sciences	673	Computer science (also see 727)
		674	Computer systems analysis
610	Architecture/Environmental Design	675	Data processing technology
	(for architectural engineering, see 723)	676	Information services and systems
		677	OTHER computer and information sciences
620	Area/Ethnic Studies		•
		Cons	servation/Renewable Natural Resources
Biol	ogical/Life Sciences	680	Environmental science studies
631	Biochemistry and biophysics	681	Forestry sciences
632	Biology, general	682	OTHER conservation/renewable natural resources
633	Botany (also see 607)		
634	Cell and molecular biology	690	Criminal Justice/Protective Services
635	Ecology		(also see 922)
636	Genetics, animal and plant		
637	Microbiology	Educ	cation
638	Nutritional sciences (also see 606)	701	Administration
639	Pharmacology, human and animal (also see 788)	702	Computer teacher education
640	Physiology, human and animal	703	Counselor education/guidance services
641	Zoology, general	704	Educational psychology
642	OTHER biological sciences	705	Elementary teacher education
		706	Mathematics teacher education
Busi	ness Management/Administrative Services	707	Physical education/coaching
651	Accounting	708	Pre-elementary teacher education
652	Actuarial science	709	Science teacher education
653	Business administration and management	710	Secondary teacher education
654	Business, general	711	Special education
655	Business/managerial economics (also see 601 and	712	Social science teacher education
	923)	713	OTHER education
656	Business marketing/marketing management		
657	Financial management	Engi	neering
658	Marketing research	721	Aerospace, aeronautical, astronautical engineering
843	Operations research	722	Agricultural engineering
659	OTHER business management/admin services	723	Architectural engineering

## EXHIBIT 1. LIST A: EDUCATION CODES (CONTINUED)

#### **Engineering (continued)** 800 Home Economics 724 Bioengineering and biomedical engineering 810 Law/Prelaw/Legal Studies 725 Chemical engineering 726 Civil engineering Liberal Arts/General Studies 727 Computer/systems engineering (also see 673) 728 Electrical, electronics, communications 830 Library Science engineering (also see 751) 729 Engineering sciences, mechanics, physics **Mathematics** 730 Environmental engineering 841 Applied mathematics (also see 843, 652) General engineering 731 842 Mathematics, general 732 Geophysical engineering 843 Operations research 733 Industrial engineering (also see 752) 844 Statistics 734 Materials engineering, including ceramics and 845 OTHER mathematics textiles 735 Mechanical engineering (also see 753) 850 Parks, Recreation, Leisure, and Fitness Studies 736 Metallurgical engineering Mining and minerals engineering Philosophy, Religion, and Theology 738 Naval architecture and marine engineering Philosophy of science 739 Nuclear engineering 862 OTHER philosophy, religion, theology 740 Petroleum engineering **Physical Sciences** 741 OTHER engineering 871 Astronomy and astrophysics 872 Atmospheric sciences and meteorology **Engineering-Related Technologies** 631 Biochemistry and biophysics 751 Electrical and electronic technologies 873 Chemistry 752 Industrial production technologies 874 Earth sciences 753 Mechanical engineering-related technologies 680 Environmental science studies 754 OTHER engineering-related technologies 875 Geology Languages, Linguistics, Literature/Letters 876 Geological sciences, other 760 English Language and Literature/Letters 877 Oceanography 771 Linguistics 878 Physics 772 OTHER foreign languages and literature 879 OTHER physical sciences **Health Professions and Related Sciences Psychology** 781 Audiology and speech pathology 891 Clinical 782 Health services administration 892 Counseling 783 Health/medical assistants 704 Educational 784 Health/medical technologies 893 Experimental 785 Medical preparatory programs (e.g., pre-dentistry, 894 General pre-medical, pre-veterinary) 895 Industrial/Organizational 786 Medicine (e.g., dentistry, optometry, osteopathic, 896 Social podiatry, veterinary) 897 OTHER psychology Nursing (4 years or longer program) 787 788 Pharmacy (also see 639) **Public Affairs** 789 Physical therapy and other rehabilitation/ 901 Public administration therapeutic services 902 Public policy studies

903 OTHER public affairs

790 Public health (including environmental health

OTHER health/medical sciences

and epidemiology)

791

## EXHIBIT 1. LIST A: EDUCATION CODES (CONTINUED)

#### **Social Sciences and History**

- 921 Anthropology and archeology
- 922 Criminology (also see 690)
- 923 Economics (also see 601 and 655)
- 924 Geography
- 925 History of science
- 926 History, other
- 927 International relations
- 928 Political science and government
- 929 Sociology
- 930 OTHER social sciences

#### **Visual and Performing Arts**

- 941 Dramatic arts
- 942 Fine arts, all fields
- 943 Music, all fields
- 944 OTHER visual and performing arts
- 991 Other science/engineering
- 995 Other Fields Not Listed

## EXHIBIT 2. MAJOR CODE CATEGORIES FOR TABULATIONS

#### 1. Computer and information sciences

Computer science and information sciences 671, 673, 674, 676, 677

#### 2. Life and related sciences

Agricultural and food sciences 605-608 Biological sciences 631-642, 991, (781-791 Ph.D. degree only) Environmental life sciences, including forestry sciences 680, 681

#### 3. Mathematical sciences

Mathematics and related sciences 841-845

#### 4. Physical and related sciences

Chemistry, except biochemistry 873 Earth sciences, geology, and oceanography 872, 874-877 Physics and astronomy 871, 878 Other physical sciences 879

#### 5. Psychology

Psychology 891-897, 704

#### 6. Social and related sciences

Economics 601, 923 Political science and related sciences 902, 927, 928 Sociology and anthropology 921, 922, 929 Other social sciences 771, 861, 924, 925, 930, 620

#### 7. Engineering

Aerospace and related engineering 721

Chemical engineering 725

Civil and architectural engineering 726, 723

Electrical, electronic, computer, and communications engineering 727, 728

Industrial engineering 733

Mechanical engineering 735

Other engineering 722, 724, 729-732, 734, 736-741

#### 8. Other majors

602, 610, 651-659, 661-663, 672, 675, 682, 690, 701-703, 705-713, 751-754, 760, 772, 781-791\*, 800, 810, 820, 830, 850, 862, 901, 903, 910, 926, 941-944, 995

SOURCE: National Science Foundation/Division of Science Resources Statistics, National Survey of Recent College Graduates, 1999

<sup>\*</sup>At the BA, MA, or professional level.

### EXHIBIT 3. LIST B: JOB CODES

This JOB CODES list is ordered alphabetically. The titles in bold type are broad job categories. To make sure you have found the BEST code, please review ALL broad categories before making your choice. If you cannot find the code that BEST describes your job, use the "OTHER" code under the most appropriate broad category in bold print. If none of the codes fit your job, use Code 500.

## 010 Artists, Broadcasters, Editors, Entertainers, Public Relations Specialists, Writers

#### **Biological/Life Scientists**

- 021 Agricultural and food scientists
- 022 Biochemists and biophysicists
- 023 Biological scientists (e.g., botanists, ecologists, zoologists)
- 024 Forestry, conservation scientists
- 025 Medical scientists (excluding practitioners)
- 026 Technologists & technicians in the biological/ life sciences
- 027 OTHER biological/life scientists

#### Clerical/Administrative Support

- 031 Accounting clerks, bookkeepers
- 032 Secretaries, receptionists, typists
- OTHER administrative (e.g., record clerks, telephone operators)

#### 040 Clergy & Other Religious Workers

#### **Computer Occupations** (Also see 173)

- \*\*\* Computer engineers (See 087, 088 under Engineering)
- 051 Computer programmers (business, scientific, process control)
- 052 Computer system analysts
- 053 Computer scientists, except system analysts
- 054 Information systems scientists or analysts
- 055 OTHER computer, information science occupations
- \*\*\* Consultants (select the code that comes closest to your usual area of consulting)
- 070 **Counselors, Educational & Vocational** (Also see 236)

#### **Engineers, Architects, Surveyors**

- 081 Architects
- \*\*\* Engineers (Also see 100-103)
  - 082 Aeronautical, aerospace, astronautical
  - 083 Agricultural
  - 084 Bioengineering & biomedical
  - 085 Chemical

#### \*\*\* Engineers (continued)

- 086 Civil, including architectural & sanitary
- 087 Computer engineer hardware
- 088 Computer engineer software
- 089 Electrical, electronic
- 090 Environmental
- 091 Industrial
- 092 Marine engineer or naval architect
- 093 Materials or metallurgical
- 094 Mechanical
- 095 Mining or geological
- 096 Nuclear
- 097 Petroleum
- 098 Sales
- 099 Other engineers

#### \*\*\* Engineering Technologists and Technicians

- 100 Electrical, electronic, industrial, mechanical
- 101 Drafting occupations, including computer drafting
- 102 Surveying and mapping
- 103 OTHER engineering technologists and technicians

#### 104 Surveyors

#### 110 Farmers, Foresters & Fishermen

#### **Health Occupations**

- Diagnosing/Treating Practitioners
  (e.g., dentists, optometrists, physicians, psychiatrists, podiatrists, surgeons, veterinarians)
- 112 Registered nurses, pharmacists, dieticians, therapists, physician assistants
- 113 Health Technologists & Technicians
  (e.g., dental hygienists, health record technologist/
  technicians, licensed practical nurses, medical or
  laboratory technicians, radiologic technologists/
  technicians)
- 114 OTHER health occupations
- 120 Lawyers, Judges
- 130 Librarians, Archivists, Curators

### EXHIBIT 3. LIST B: JOB CODES (CONTINUED)

## **Managers, Executives, Administrators** (Also see 151-153)

- 141 Top and mid-level managers, executives, administrators (people who manage other managers)
- \*\*\* All other managers, including the self-employed Use the code that comes closest to the field you
  manage

#### **Management-Related Occupations** (Also see 141)

- 151 Accountants, auditors, and other financial specialists
- 152 Personnel, training, and labor relations specialists
- 153 OTHER management related occupations

#### **Mathematical Scientists**

- 171 Actuaries
- 172 Mathematicians
- 173 Operations research analysts, modeling
- 174 Statisticians
- 175 Technologists and technicians in the mathematical sciences
- 176 OTHER mathematical scientists

#### **Physical Scientists**

- 191 Astronomers
- 192 Atmospheric and space scientists
- 193 Chemists, except biochemists
- 194 Geologists, including earth scientists
- 195 Oceanographers
- 196 Physicists
- 197 Technologists and technicians in the physical sciences
- 198 OTHER physical scientists

#### \*\*\*Research Associates/Assistants

(Select the code that comes closest to your field)

#### **Sales and Marketing**

- 200 Insurance, securities, real estate, & business services
- 201 Sales Occupations Commodities Except Retail (e.g., industrial machinery/equipment/supplies, medical and dental equip/supplies)
- 202 Sales Occupations Retail (e.g., furnishings, clothing, motor vehicles, cosmetics)
- 203 OTHER marketing and sales occupations

#### Service Occupations, Except Health (Also see 111-114)

- 221 Food Preparation and Service (e.g., cooks, waitresses, bartenders)
- 222 Protective services (e.g., fire fighters, police, guards)
- 223 OTHER service occupations, except health

#### **Social Scientists**

- 231 Anthropologists
- 232 Economists
- 233 Historians, science and technology
- 234 Historians, except science and technology
- 235 Political scientists
- 236 Psychologists, including clinical (Also see 070)
- 237 Sociologists
- 238 OTHER social scientist

#### 240 Social Workers

#### **Teachers/Professors**

- 251 Pre-Kindergarten and kindergarten
- 252 Elementary
- 253 Secondary computer, math, or sciences
- 254 Secondary social sciences
- 255 Secondary other subjects
- 256 Special education primary and secondary
- 257 OTHER precollegiate area

#### \*\*\* Postsecondary

- 271 Agriculture
- 272 Art, Drama, and Music
- 273 Biological Sciences
- 274 Business Commerce and Marketing
- 275 Chemistry
- 276 Computer Science
- 277 Earth, Environmental, and Marine Science
- 278 Economics
- 279 Education
- 280 Engineering
- 281 English
- 282 Foreign Language
- 283 History
- 284 Home Economics
- 285 Law
- 286 Mathematical Sciences
- 287 Medical Science

## EXHIBIT 3. LIST B: JOB CODES (CONTINUED)

***	Postsecondary (continued)	Othe	er Professions
288	Physical Education	401	Construction trades, miners & well drillers
289	Physics	402	Mechanics and repairers
290	Political Science	403	Precision/production occupations
291	Psychology		(e.g., metal workers, woodworkers, butchers,
292	Social Work		bakers, printing occupations, tailors, shoemakers
293	Sociology		photographic process)
294	Theology	404	Operators and related occupations
295	Trade and Industrial		(e.g., machine set-up, machine operators and
296	OTHER health specialties		tenders, fabricators, assemblers)
297	OTHER natural sciences	405	Transportation/material moving occupations
298	OTHER social sciences		
299	OTHER Postsecondary	500	Other Occupations (Not Listed)

## EXHIBIT 4. NSF OCCUPATIONAL CODE CATEGORIES FOR TABULATIONS

#### 1. Computer and information scientists

Computer and information scientists 052-055, 088 Postsecondary teachers in computer sciences 276

#### 2. Life and related scientists

Agricultural and food scientists 021 Biological scientists 022, 023, 025, 027 Environmental life scientists including forestry scientists 024 Postsecondary teachers in life and related sciences 273, 271, 287, 297

#### 3. Mathematical scientists

Mathematical scientists 172-174, 176 Postsecondary teachers in mathematical sciences 286

#### 4. Physical scientists

Chemists, except biochemists 193
Earth scientists, geologists, and oceanographers 192, 194, 195
Physicists and astronomers 191, 196
Other physical scientists 198
Postsecondary teachers in physical and related sciences 289, 277, 275

#### 5. Psychologists

Psychologists 236 Postsecondary teachers in psychology 291

#### 6. Social and related scientists

Economists 232
Political scientists 235
Sociologists and anthropologists 231, 237
Other social scientists 238, 233
Postsecondary teachers in social and related sciences 278, 290, 293, 298

#### 7. Engineers

Aerospace and related engineers 082 Chemical engineers 085 Civil and architectural engineers 086 Electrical, electronic, computer, and communications engineers 087, 089 Industrial engineers 091 Mechanical engineers 094 Other engineers 083, 084, 090, 092-093, 095-097, 099, 098 Postsecondary teachers in engineering 280

## EXHIBIT 4. NSF OCCUPATIONAL CODE CATEGORIES FOR TABULATIONS (CONTINUED)

#### 8. All other occupations (occupations other than S&E)

Managers and related occupations 141, 151-153

Health and related occupations, 111-114

Educators other than science and engineering postsecondary 253-254, 251, 252, 255-257, 272, 274, 279 281-285, 288, 292, 294-296, 299

Social services and related occupations 240, 070, 040

Technicians, including computer programmers 026, 175, 197, 100-104, 081, 051

Sales and marketing occupations 200-203

Other occupations 010, 031-033, 120, 130, 110, 500, 171, 234, 221-223, 401-405

SOURCE: National Science Foundation/Division of Science Resources Statistics, National Survey of Recent College Graduates, 1999

# APPENDIX ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE MAJORS: 1999

Categories & Fields	1999 NSF CODE	1990 CIP <sup>1</sup> CODE
1. Computer, information, and mathematical sciences (Eligible)		
11 COMPUTER & INFORMATION SCIENCES		
COMPUTER & INFORMATION SCIENCES, GENERAL	671	11.0101
COMPUTER SCIENCE	673	11.0701
COMPUTER SYSTEMS ANALYSIS	674	11.0501
INFORMATION SCIENCES & SYSTEMS	676	11.0401
COMPUTER & INFORMATION SCIENCES, OTHER	677	11.9999
12 MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES		
APPLIED MATHEMATICS, GENERAL	841	27.0301
APPLIED MATHEMATICS, OTHER	841	27.0399
MATHEMATICS	842	27.0101
OPERATIONS RESEARCH	843	27.0302
MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS	844	27.0501
MATHEMATICS, OTHER	845	27.9999
MATHEMATICS & COMPUTER SCIENCE	845	30.0801
<ul><li>2. Life and related sciences (Eligible)</li><li>21 AGRICULTURAL &amp; FOOD SCIENCES</li></ul>		
ANIMAL SCIENCES	605	02.0201-02.0299
FOOD SCIENCES & TECHNOLOGY	606	02.0301
PLANT SCIENCES	607	02.0401-02.0499
SOIL SCIENCE	608	02.0501
AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES, OTHER	608	02.9999
AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES, GENERAL	608	02.0101-02.0102
22 BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES	C21	26,0202,26,0202
BIOCHEMISTRY & BIOPHYSICS	631	26.0202-26.0203
BIOLOGY, GENERAL	632	26.0101
BOTANY CELL & MOLECULAR BIOLOGY	633	26.0301-26.0399
ECOLOGY	634 635	26.0401-26.0499 26.0603
GENETICS, PLANT & ANIMAL	636	26.0613
MICROBIOLOGY/BACTERIOLOGY	637	26.0501
NUTRITIONAL SCIENCES	638	26.0609
PHARMACOLOGY, HUMAN & ANIMAL	639	26.0705
PHYSIOLOGY, HUMAN & ANIMAL  PHYSIOLOGY, HUMAN & ANIMAL	640	26.0706
ZOOLOGY, GENERAL	641	26.0701
ENTOMOLOGY	641	26.0702
PATHOLOGY, HUMAN & ANIMAL	641	26.0704

Categories & Fields		1999 NSF CODE	1990 CIP¹ CODE
ZOOLOG	Y OTHER	641	26.0799
ANATOM	•	642	26.0601
	AQUATIC BIOLOGY	642	26.0607
NEUROSO		642	26.0608
PARASITO		642	26.0610
	ON BIOLOGY/RADIOBIOLOGY	642	26.0611
TOXICOL		642	26.0612
BIOMETR		642	26.0614
BIOSTATI		642	26.0615
	NOLOGY RESEARCH	642	26.0616
	ONARY BIOLOGY	642	26.0617
	CAL IMMUNOLOGY	642	26.0618
VIROLOG		642	26.0619
	LOGICAL SPECIALTIES, OTHER	642	26.0699
	CAL SCIENCES, OTHER	642	26.9999
	CAL & PHYSICAL SCIENCES	991	30.0101
	SCIENCE & THEORY	991	30.0601
		<i>))</i> 1	30.0001
	MENTAL & FORESTRY SCIENCES	-0.0	
	MENTAL SCIENCE/STUDIES	680	03.0102
FORESTR	Y SCIENCES	681	03.0502
<ul><li>3. Physical a</li><li>31 CHEMIST</li></ul>	nd related sciences (Eligible)		
CHEMIST		873	40.0501-40.0599
32 EARTH SO	CIENCES, GEOLOGY, OCEANOGRAPHY		
	HERIC SCI & METEOROLOGY	872	40.0401
EARTH &	PLANETARY SCIENCES	874	40.0703
GEOLOG'		875	40.0601
GEOCHEN		876	40.0602
	SICS & SEISMOLOGY	876	40.0603
PALEONT	OLOGY	876	40.0604
GEOLOGI	CAL SCIENCES, OTHER	876	40.0699
OCEANO	GRAPHY	877	40.0702
33 PHYSICS	& ASTRONOMY		
ASTRONO		871	40.0201
ASTROPH		871	40.0301
PHYSICS		878	40.0801-40.0899
34 OTHER DI	HYSICAL SCIENCES		
	L SCIENCES, GENERAL	879	40.0101
METALLU	•	879	40.0701
	SICAL SCIENCES, OTHER	879 879	40.0701
	L SCIENCES, OTHER	879 879	40.0799
FILLSICA	L SCIENCES, OTHER	0/7	40.7779

Categories & Fields	1999 NSF CODE	1990 CIP <sup>1</sup> CODE
<ul><li>4. Social sciences and related sciences (Eligible)</li><li>41 ECONOMICS</li></ul>		
AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS ECONOMICS	601 923	01.0103 45.0601-45.0699
42 POLITICAL & RELATED SCIENCES		
PUBLIC POLICY ANALYSIS	902	44.0501
INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS & AFFAIRS	927	45.0901
POLITICAL SCIENCE & GOVERNMENT	928	45.1001-45.1099
43 PSYCHOLOGY		
EDUCATIONAL PSYCHOLOGY	704	13.0802
CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGY	891	42.0201
COUNSELING PSYCHOLOGY	892	42.0601
EXPERIMENTAL PSYCHOLOGY	893	42.0801
PSYCHOLOGY, GENERAL	894	42.0101
INDUSTRIAL/ORGANIZATIONAL PSYCHOLOGY	895	42.0901
SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGY	896	42.1601
PSYCHOLOGY, OTHER	897	42.9999
COGNITIVE PSYCHOLOGY	897	42.0301
COMMUNITY PSYCHOLOGY	897	42.0401
DEVELOPMENTAL & CHILD PSYCHOLOGY	897	42.0701
PHYSIOLOGICAL PSYCHOLOGY	897	42.1101
SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY	897	42.1701
BIOPSYCHOLOGY	897	30.1001
44 SOCIOLOGY & ANTHROPOLOGY		
ANTHROPOLOGY	921	45.0201
ARCHEOLOGY	921	45.0301
CRIMINOLOGY	922	45.0401
SOCIOLOGY	929	45.1101
45 OTHER SOCIAL SCIENCES		
AREA STUDIES	620	05.0101-05.0199
ETHNIC & CULTURAL STUDIES	620	05.0201-05.0299
AREA,ETHNIC,CULTURAL, OTHER	620	05.9999
LINGUISTICS	771	16.0102
PHILOSOPHY OF SCIENCE	861	45.0804 (PART)
GEOGRAPHY	924	45.0701-45.0702
HISTORY OF SCIENCE	925	45.0804 (PART)
URBAN AFFAIRS/STUDIES	930	45.1201
SOCIAL SCIENCES, OTHER	930	45.9999
SOCIAL SCIENCES, GENERAL	930	45.0101
DEMOGRAPHY/POPULATION STUDIES PEACE & CONFLICT STUDIES	930	45.0501
GERONTOLOGY	930 930	30.0501 30.1101
SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY, & SOCIETY	930	30.1501
SCIENCE, LECTIVOLOGI, & SUCIELI	730	30.1301

1999 NSF CODE	1990 CIP <sup>1</sup> CODE
721	14.0201
725	14.0701
726 723	14.0801-14.0899 14.0401
727 727 728	14.0901 14.2701 14.1001
733	14.1701
735	14.1901
722 724 729 729 729 730 731 732 734 734 734 734 736 737 738 739 740 741 741 741	14.0301 14.0501 14.1101 14.1201 14.1301 14.1401 14.0101 14.1601 14.1801 14.2801 14.2801 14.2201 14.2201 14.2301 14.2501 14.2501 14.2501 14.3001 14.3101 14.3101 14.1501 14.9999
	721 725 726 727 727 728 733 735 722 724 729 729 729 729 730 731 732 734 734 734 734 734 734 734 734 734 734

Ca	tegories & Fields	1999 NSF CODE	1990 CIP <sup>1</sup> CODE
6.	Non-Science and Non-Engineering fields (Not Eligible)		
••	OTHER, AGRI-BUSINESS & MANAGEMENT	602	01.0101-01.0102
	OTHER, AGRI-BUSINESS & MANAGEMENT	602	01.0104-01.9999
	ARCHITECTURE	610	ALL 04
	BUSINESS MANAGEMENT	651-659	ALL 08, ALL 52
	COMMUNICATIONS	661-663	ALL 09
	COMPUTER PROGRAMMING	672	11.0201
	DATA PROCESSING TECHNOLOGY	675	11.0301
	OTHER, CONSERVATION	682	03.0101
	OTHER, CONSERVATION	682	03.0201-03.0501
	OTHER, CONSERVATION	682	03.0506-03.9999
	CRIMINAL JUSTICE/PROTECT SERVICES	690	ALL 43
	EDUCATION	701-703	ALL 13 EXCEPT 13.0802
	EDUCATION	705-713	ALL 13 EXCEPT 13.0802
	ENGINEERING-RELATED TECHNOLOGIES	751-754	ALL 15
	ENGINEERING-RELATED TECHNOLOGIES	751-754	48.0101-48.0199
	ENGLISH LANGUAGE, LITERATURE	760	ALL 23
	OTHER, FOREIGN LANGUAGE	772	16.0101
	OTHER, FOREIGN LANGUAGE	772	16.0103-16.9999
	HEALTH PROFESSIONS	781-791	ALL 51
	HOME ECONOMICS	800	ALL 19, ALL 20
	LAW/PRELAW/LEGAL STUDIES	810	ALL 22
	LIBERAL ARTS	820	ALL 24
	LIBRARY SCIENCE	830	ALL 25
	PARKS, RECREATION, LEISURE	850	ALL 31
	OTHER, PHILOSOPHY, RELIGION	862	ALL 38, ALL 39
	PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION	901	44.0401
	OTHER, PUBLIC AFFAIRS	903	44.0201,44.9999
	SOCIAL WORK	910	44.0701
	HISTORY, OTHER	926	45.0801-45.0803
	HISTORY, OTHER	926	45.0805-45.0899
	VISUAL & PERFORMING ARTS	941-944	ALL 50
	OTHER FIELDS	995	ALL 10, ALL 12
	OTHER FIELDS	995	29.0101
	OTHER FIELDS	995	30.1201
	OTHER FIELDS	995	30.1301
	OTHER FIELDS	995	30.1401
	OTHER FIELDS	995	30.9999
	OTHER FIELDS	995	ALL 32 THRU 37
	OTHER FIELDS	995	ALL 41, ALL 46, ALL 47
	OTHER FIELDS	995	48.0201-48.9999
	OTHER FIELDS	995	ALL 49

<sup>1</sup> Classification of Instructional Programs

33